

Annex D - Reporting format on the 'main results of the surveillance under Article 11' for Annex I Habitats Types

<i>Field definition</i>	<i>Brief explanations</i>
0.1 Member State	The MS for which the reported data apply; use 2 digit code according to list to be found in the reference portal
0.2 Habitat code	From checklist for reporting under nature directives, e.g. 1110 (do not use subtypes). Should subtypes be used, e.g. for marine habitat types, please ensure that there is also a format filled in for the habitat type as in the directive – Annex I)
1 National level	
1.1. Maps	Distribution and range within the country concerned
1.1.1. Distribution map	Submit a map as a GIS file – together with relevant metadata. Standard for submission is 10x10km ETRS grid cells, projection ETRS LAEA 5210.
1.1.2. Method used - map	3 = Complete survey 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling 0 = Absent data
1.1.3. Year or period	Year or period when distribution data was collected
1.1.4. Additional distribution map Optional	This is for cases if MS wishes to submit an additional map deviating from standard submission map under 1.1.1.
1.1.5. Range map	Submit a map that was used for range evaluation following the same standard as under 1.1.1. or 1.1.4.
2. Biogeographical level	
Complete for each biogeographical region or marine region concerned	
2.1. Biogeographical region or marine regions	Choose one of the following: Alpine (ALP), Atlantic (ATL), Black Sea (BLS), Boreal (BOR), Continental (CON), Mediterranean (MED), Macaronesian (MAC), Pannonian (PAN), Steppic (STE)), Marine Atlantic (MATL), Marine Mediterranean (MMED), Marine Black Sea (MBLS), Marine Macaronesian (MMAC) and Marine Baltic Sea (MBAL)
2.2. Published sources	If data given below is from published sources give bibliographical references or link to Internet site(s). Give author, year, title of publication, source, volume, number of pages, web address.
2.3. Range	Range within the biogeographical region concerned.
2.3.1. Surface area Range	Total surface area of the range within biogeographical region concerned in km ² . The method described in the section IV.a.i 'Range' of the guidelines is recommended
2.3.2 Method used Range	3 = Complete survey 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling 0 = Absent data
2.3.3. Short-term trend Period	2001-2012 (rolling 12-year time window) or period as close as possible to it. Indicate the period used here. The short-term trend is to be used for the assessment.

2.3.4. Short-term trend Trend direction	0 = stable + = increase - = decrease x = unknown	
2.3.5. Short-term trend Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	Percentage change over the period indicated in the field 2.3.2. - if a precise figure, to give same value under 'minimum' and 'maximum'
	b) Maximum	As for a)
2.3.6. Long-term trend Period Optional	A trend calculated over 24 years. For 2013 reports it is optional (fields 2.3.6 -2.3.8 are optional). Indicate the period used here.	
2.3.7 Long-term trend Trend direction Optional	0 = stable + = increase - = decrease x = unknown	
2.3.8 Long-term trend Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	Percentage change over the period indicated in the field 2.3.6. - if a precise figure, to give same value under 'minimum' and 'maximum'
	b) Maximum	As for b)
2.3.9 Favourable reference range	a) In km ² . Submit a map as a GIS file if available.	
	b) Indicate if operators were used (using symbols ≈, >, >>)	
	c) If Favourable Reference Range is unknown, indicate with "x"	
	d) Indicate method used to set reference value (if other than operators) (free text)	
2.3.10 Reason for change Is the difference between the reported value in 2.3.1. and the previous reporting round mainly due to:	a) genuine change? <i>YES/NO</i>	
	b) improved knowledge/more accurate data? <i>YES/NO</i>	
	c) use of different method (e.g. "Range tool") <i>YES/NO</i>	
2.4 Area covered by habitat	Area covered by habitat within the range in the biogeographical region concerned (km ²)	
2.4.1 Surface area	In km ²	
2.4.2 Year or period	Year or period when data for area surface was recorded.	
2.4.3 Method used Area covered by habitat	3 = Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling 0 = Absent data	
2.4.4 Short-term trend Period	2001-2012 (rolling 12-year time window) or period as close as possible to it. Indicate the period used here. The short-term trend is to be used for the assessment	
2.4.5 Short-term trend Trend direction	0 = stable + = increase - = decrease x = unknown	

2.4.6 Short-term trend Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	Percentage change over the period indicated in the field 2.4.4 - if a precise figure, to give same value under 'minimum' and 'maximum'
	b) Maximum	As for a)
	c) Confidence interval	Indicate confidence interval if a statistically reliable method is used
2.4.7 Short-term trend Method used	3 = Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling 0 = Absent data	
2.4.8 Long-term trend Period Optional	A trend calculated over 24 years. For 2013 reports it is optional (fields 2.4.8 - 2.4.10 are optional). Indicate the period used here.	
2.4.9. Long-term trend - Trend direction Optional	0 = stable + = increase - = decrease x = unknown	
2.4.10 Long-term trend Magnitude Optional	a) Minimum	Percentage change over the period indicated in the field 2.4.8 - if a precise figure, to give same value under 'minimum' and 'maximum'
	b) Maximum	As for a)
	c) Confidence interval	Indicate confidence interval if a statistically reliable method is used
2.4.11 Long-term trend Method used Optional	3 = Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling 0 = Absent data	
2.4.12 Favourable reference area	a) In km ² . Submit a map as a GIS file if available.	
	b) Indicate if operators were used (\approx , $>$, $>>$ ¹)	
	c) If Favourable Reference Area is unknown indicate with "x"	
	d) Indicate method used to set reference value (if other than operators) (free text)	
2.4.13 Reason for change Is the difference between the reported value in 2.4.1. and the previous reporting round mainly due to:	a) genuine change? <i>YES/NO</i>	
	b) improved knowledge/more accurate data? <i>YES/NO</i>	
	c) use of different method (e.g. "Range tool") <i>YES/NO</i>	
2.5 Main pressures		
a) Pressure	b) Ranking	c) Pollution qualifier
List max 20 pressures. Use codes from the list of threats and pressures to at least the 2 nd level ²	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • H = high importance (max 5 entries) • M = medium importance • L = low importance 	<i>optional</i>

¹ Special case: symbol "<" can be used only in special cases like for the habitat type Degraded raised bog still capable of natural regeneration (7120)

2.5.1 Method used – pressures	3 = based exclusively or to a larger extent on real data from sites/occurrences or other data sources 2 = mainly based on expert judgement and other data 1 = based only on expert judgements	
2.6. Main threats		
a) Threats	b) Ranking	c) Pollution qualifier
Same explanation as for the pressure	Same explanation as for the pressure	<i>optional</i>
2.6.1. Method used –threats	2 = modelling 1 = expert opinion	

2.7 Complementary information	
2.7.1 Typical species	List the typical species used
2.7.2 Typical species – method used	Describe method(s) used to assess the status of typical species as part of the overall assessment of structure and functions.
2.7.3 Justification of % thresholds for trends	In case a MS is not using the indicative suggested value of 1% per year when assessing trends, this should be duly justified in this free text field
2.7.4 Structure and functions - Methods used	3 = Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate 2 = Estimate based on partial data with some extrapolation and/or modelling 1 = Estimate based on expert opinion with no or minimal sampling
2.7.5 Other relevant information	Free text

2.8. Conclusions <i>(assessment of conservation status at end of reporting period)</i>	
2.8.1. Range	a) Favourable (FV) / Inadequate (U1) / Bad (U2) / Unknown (XX) b) If CS is U1 or U2 it is recommended to use qualifiers ³
2.8.2. Area	a) Favourable (FV) / Inadequate (U1) / Bad (U2) / Unknown (XX) b) If CS is U1 or U2 it is recommended to use qualifiers ¹⁰
2.8.3. Specific structures and functions (incl. typical species)	a) Favourable (FV) / Inadequate (U1) / Bad (U2) / Unknown (XX) b) If CS is U1 or U2 it is recommended to use qualifiers ¹⁰
2.8.4. Future prospects	a) Favourable (FV) / Inadequate (U1) / Bad (U2) / Unknown (XX) b) If CS is U1 or U2 it is recommended to use qualifiers ¹⁰
2.8.5. Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV) / Inadequate (U1) / Bad (U2) / Unknown (XX)
2.8.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	If CS is inadequate or bad, use of qualifier '+' (improving) or '-' (declining), '=' (stable) or 'x' (unknown) is obligatory.

² List of threats and pressures is available on the Art 17 Reference Portal

³ If conservation status is inadequate or bad, it is recommended to indicate use '+' (improving) or '-' (declining), '=' (stable) or 'x' (unknown).

